



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.42, No.1

January 13th, 2006

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:

When King and People understand each other past a doubt,
It takes a foe and more than a foe to knock that country out;
For the one will do what the other requires as soon as the need is shown;
And hand in hand they can make a stand which neither could make alone!
It is not wealth, nor talk, nor trade, nor schools, nor even the Vote,
Will save your land when the enemy's hand is tightening round your throat.
But a King and a People who thoroughly trust each other in all that is done
Can sleep on their bed without any dread - for the world will leave 'em alone!

From "*Together*" by Rudyard Kipling, 1885-1926

FUNDAMENTALS OF SOCIAL CREDIT by Betty Luks:

In "Fundamentals of Social Credit in the Teaching of Jesus", which first appeared in *The Fig Tree* in 1936, the Rev. G.R. Robertson explained the Greek word generally translated as 'faith' in the New Testament could also be translated as "faithful dealing" between man and man in that famous passage where Jesus took the scribes and Pharisees to task for their hypocrisy:

"Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! For you tithe mint and anise and cumin, and have left undone the weightier matters of the law, justice and mercy, and *faithful dealing* between man and man (thereby enabling them to draw on the 'social credit'); these you ought to have done, and not left the other undone". Paying of tithes and burning of incense were only the phylacteries of religion - social justice and 'credit' were weightier matters.

St. Paul wrote "The fruit of the Spirit is love, joy... faithfulness." (RV) It is translated "good faith" by Weymouth and "fidelity" by Moffatt.

Rev. Robertson wrote: Jesus is saying to the religious leaders of his day that man's trust in the reliability of his neighbour should be the outcome of true piety, and, as such, should be the concern of religious leaders and teachers, if they are functioning properly.

Social Credit is the 'flowering' of those truths in politics and economics, the definition of social credit being: "The power of human beings in association to produce the result intended, measured in terms of their satisfaction."

Don't you think where two or more human beings decide to work together, to join together to produce a result agreed upon (intended) there is a benefit, an increment of that association?

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *Fundamentals Of Social Credit* • *Cardboard Castles*
• *Foul Odours From The U.S.* • *Bracks Toughs It Out* • *Talking Sense About China*

In his poem *"Together"* Rudyard Kipling expresses his belief that obviously that is so!

Further reading:

"Human Ecology and Social Credit: The Legacy of Tom Robertson" by Michael Lane. Price \$9.50 posted.

"Charles Ferguson: Herald of Social Credit" by Michael Lane. Price: \$11.50 posted.

"Social Credit: Asterisks" by Anthony Cooney. Price \$8.50 posted.

CARDBOARD CASTLES by James Reed:

Readers have no doubt heard that Jewish billionaire Richard Pratt is facing multi-million dollar fines for a price-fixing racket in the packaging market. Pratt's business, the Visy Group is accused of colluding with a rival company Amcor to fix prices of corrugated cardboard, among other things.

This case only came to attention because of a whistleblower who kept a piece of white butcher's paper where at a meeting in the 1980s Pratt wrote that the industry would "share equitably": "APM-Smorgon + Visy to share everything". The document was given to the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) with other evidence.

One should not be surprised by this situation. Behind most great fortunes are legal short-cuts, if not outright criminality. The capitalist system, especially the Asian capitalist system, thrives on it. Insider trading is a standard practice for certain ethnic groups. Cash-in-hand work has enabled a generation of ethnics to become land lords over Anglo-Saxon Australians. The name of the game is "hard ball" and the survivors don't play by the rules. Only Anglo-mugs seem to believe in the nonsense that the game of capitalism is fair.

However, a system built upon such cardboard castles is highly insecure: the castles may fall at any time and are not fire proof.

A complaint has been filed in the U.S. District Court in Venice, California accusing Firestone, a multinational rubber manufacturer, by the Washington DC-based advocacy group, the International Labor Rights Fund for an alleged use of child labour and for slave-like working conditions at its Liberia, Africa plant. Up to six workers live in small rooms without a toilet, electricity or running water and most workers have never been off the plantation.

These poverty-stricken Africans are overseen by Americans and Japanese who live in the finest houses in Liberia. *Such* is the face of globalised capitalism. (Source: www.corpwatch.org).

FOUL ODOURS FROM THE U.S. by James Reed:

Potential Watergate: *The New York Times* has uncovered a potential Watergate for President Bush. Bush has admitted that he secretly authorised the national Security Agency to electronically eavesdrop on thousands of U.S. citizens without the required warrants from the courts needed for such surveillance. Bush has called the *Times* editor and the executive editor to the White House (aside: perhaps given the rising tide of colour in the U.S. a place more appropriately known as "the Brown House") to persuade them not to run with the story. That didn't work.

Vice President Dick Cheney then went to Bush's defence saying that the actions were within the constitutional authority of the President and necessary to combat terrorism. Democrats see Bush's as contrary to the requirements of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act and the option of impeaching "honest George" could arise if the Democrats gain control of Congress at the mid-year 2006 elections.

Weather Wars: Have you noticed how odd the weather is lately? Must be global warming? Well, if you are in your sixties, you have seen the seasons come and go and have witnessed floods and storms. Nevertheless there could be something behind the greenies' concerns. Professor Michel Chossudovsky, a professor of economics at the university of Ottawa has said that the world's climate can be modified by a new generation of sophisticated climate weapons. Both the U.S. and Russia have the capacity to manipulate the weather.

In the U.S. this technology is produced under the High-frequency Active Aural Research Program (HAARP) part of the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI "Star Wars"). HAARP has the capacity of triggering floods, droughts, hurricanes and earthquakes. Dr. Nicholas Begich has described HAARP as: "A super-powerful radiowave-beaming technology that lifts areas of the ionosphere [the upper layer of the atmosphere] by focusing a beam and heating those areas. Electromagnetic waves then bounce onto earth and penetrate everything – living and dead."

HAARP has the ability to deliver the energy equivalent of an atomic bomb anywhere on earth by laser and particle beams, similar to the devices used by madmen in James Bond films.

But HAARP is even more sinister as Dr. Begich has said: "HAARP could contribute to climate change by intensively bombarding the atmosphere with high-frequency rays. Returning low-frequency waves at high intensity could also affect people's brains, and effects on tectonic movements cannot be ruled out." (Source: www.transnational.org/forum).

For those readers who think that it is far fetched to suppose that people's brains might be controlled by electromagnetic radiation, reflect upon the fact that the electronic media does a 'good enough' job in mind manipulation now. But "good enough" is never enough for the dark lords of the new world order.

BRACKS TOUGHS IT OUT by Betty Luks:

Last October, 2005, the League hosted Pastor Danny Nalliah of Catch the Fire Ministries at the Albury National Seminar. Pastor Danny told us of his, and his fellow pastor's legal battles over Victoria's Racial and Religious Tolerance Act. We learned the Bracks government was considering amending the Act and waited with 'baited breath' to hear what eventuated. Andrew Bolt of the *Herald Sun* disclosed to his readers, Bracks is looking to amend the Act – but only to make it tougher!

"More weasel words" reads the *Herald Sun* headlines, 2/12/05, continuing, "Premier Bracks fails to deliver on his promise to soften his racial vilification laws. They are oppressive, divisive and deceitful."

"Steve Bracks has broken the September vow he gave to religious leaders to make his vilification laws less dangerous. Last week, the Premier's representatives finally revealed what he actually had in mind. Surprise. He will in fact make the bureaucrats running these 'shut-up' laws not less powerful, but more. He will ensure they operate not in less secrecy, but more. And people who say 'bad' things about, say, witches or the Koran, face not less punishment, but more," explained Andrew Bolt.

And "the penny finally dropped" for those church leaders who had originally, and so self-righteously, supported the Racial and Religious Tolerance Act – they'd been supporting the eventual suppression of their own freedoms.

It seems the Catholics, Anglicans and Presbyterians all asked for changes to the present Act, if not repeal. But what they got were tougher reforms.

Andrew Bolt explains: "At a meeting with government in November they were handed a page and a half, listing three possible 'reforms'. Some were astonished, even angered, by what they read. The following list of the proposals reveals just what is in store:

- First, Bracks wants to give the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (VCAT) the power to throw out a case even before holding any hearings, to weed out the more worthless complaints.
- But this is only a partial cure to the disease. As it now is, a crank activist upset by something you've said can first take you to the Equal Opportunity Commission (EOC), where you must defend yourself – hiring lawyers, if you can afford it.
- Even if the EOC decides the case is crazy, the crank can still demand to go on to a hearing at VCAT, which will cost you even more.
- The Government's proposed change might save some defendants the cost of a full VCAT hearing, but the crank still gets two free whacks at you.

The remaining two proposals are even worse.

The first is to give the EOC even more power in the first conciliation stage - usually held in private. It would be able to demand that you and the crank give information and attend conciliation meetings, and to fine you if you refuse to "comply with any directions".

Fancy your chances with a tolerance commissar who can fine you for being unco-operative?

If you think the Government actually means by this to crack down on the crank rather than you, read its scrap of paper: this change is to "encourage" conciliation and "resolve tensions between persons who (as a result of their ignorance of the attributes of others and the effect that their conduct may have on others) vilify others on the ground of race or religious belief and activity and those who are vilified".

It's the accused, not their accusers, who have most to fear. Who are presumed guilty. Who must have their "ignorance" corrected by a campaigning bureaucrat armed with fines.

The Government's final proposal proves it.

It suggests more "remedies for non-compliance of VCAT orders", with VCAT "able to implement a non-monetary order and charge that back to the respondent".

You probably have no idea what that means. So, writes Andrew, let me explain, using the Christian pastors as an example.

The two pastors tell me they will not do what VCAT has now ordered – place expensive advertisements in the papers saying they were wrong in criticising the Koran. They cannot bear false witness, they say.

Under the Government's proposals, those pastors – who already have immense legal bills – will find VCAT can place those ads for them, and bill them the cost. And if they refuse to pay....

As I said, Steve Bracks has broken his promise to make his laws less sinister. But worse, he has made it even more dangerous for us to speak our mind on some of the most pressing issues before us – religion and culture. How oppressive. How divisive. How deceitful." (emphasis added) Oh! shades of 1984!

TALKING SENSE ABOUT CHINA by James Reed:

John Mearsheimer published an insightful essay about the rise of China, *The Australian*, 18/11/05, which stated the simple and profound question: will the rise of China be peaceful? His answer was "no". Conflict and possibly war, lies ahead for China and the U.S. Mearsheimer argues on the basis of a well confirmed historical principle: that great powers attempt to maximize their power and eventually seek to dominate the world. This is a difficult task and most States come to dominate their region. In their region such States are highly territorial and strive to keep out the influence of other States. China will therefore attempt to dominate Asia and is already moving in that direction.

Other Asian powers such as India and established powers such as Japan view China's ambitions with alarm. They are likely to move to contain China's rise, as far as possible. Therein lies the potential for war. Finally, given Taiwan's strategic importance with respect to the sea lanes in East Asia, and China's ego about the return of Taiwan to China, there is a ready source of conflict available that could also lead to a hot war in East Asia.

What Measheimer doesn't tell us is that China's rise to power has been financed by the same bankers in brotherhood in New York and the other centres of Western finance who brought you the Russian Revolution, that other great assault on Western civilisation.